	Case 2:24-cv-02542-DC-CKD Document	10 Filed 07/28/25 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ELIJAH LEE JACKSON,	No. 2:24-cv-2542-DC-CKD (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	CARAMELLO,	RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING THIS ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE
15	Defendant.	(Doc. No. 9)
16		
17		
18	Plaintiff Elijah Lee Jackson is a county jail inmate appearing pro se and in forma	
19	pauperis in this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred	
20	to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On April 9, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
22	recommending this action be dismissed, without prejudice, due to Plaintiff's failure to prosecute	
23	this action and failure to comply with a court order. (Doc. No. 9.) Specifically, Plaintiff has failed	
24	to comply with the court's order dated February 25, 2025, in which Plaintiff's first amended	
25	complaint was dismissed due to his failure to state a cognizable claim and Plaintiff was directed	
26	to file a second amended complaint within thirty days. (Doc. No. 8.) Plaintiff did not thereafter	
27	file a second amended complaint. The pending findings and recommendations were served on	
28	Plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14)	
	1	

## 1 days after service. (Doc. No. 9 at 1.) To date, no objections to the findings and recommendations 2 have been filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed. 3 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the 4 5 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. 6 Accordingly: 7 The findings and recommendations issued on April 9, 2025 (Doc. No. 9) are 1. ADOPTED in full; 8 9 2. This action is DISMISSED, without prejudice, due to Plaintiff's failure to 10 prosecute this action and failure to comply with a court order; and 11 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. 12 IT IS SO ORDERED. 13 Dated: **July 25, 2025** 14 Dena Coggins 15 United States District Judge 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Document 10

Filed 07/28/25

Page 2 of 2

Case 2:24-cv-02542-DC-CKD